For the Northern District of California

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GENARO Q.PAED, at al.,	No. C 11-03603 CRB
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER RE CLARIFICATION
13	v.	
14	BANK OF AMERICA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On December 19, 2011, the Court remanded this case to state court, explaining that	

On December 19, 2011, the Court remanded this case to state court, explaining that the second amended complaint (dkt. 38) no longer contained any federal claims. See Order (dkt. 40). Defendants request clarification of the Court's Order, noting that, though the second amended complaint did not contain any federal claims, it purported to incorporate the first amended complaint, which did include federal claims. See Mot. (dkt. 42) at 1.

Civil Local Rule 10-1 provides that amended pleadings "must reproduce the entire proposed pleading and may not incorporate any part of a prior pleading by reference." Therefore, the second amended complaint did not contain any federal claims, and the Court lacked jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 18, 2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE